



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

SLOVIN

Atty. Ref.: 550-398; Confirmation No. 6308

Appl. No. 09/857,497

TC/A.U. 2616

Filed: November 7, 2001

Examiner: N. Ngo

For: WIRELESS LOCAL LOOP SYSTEM AND METHODS USEFUL THEREFOR

* * * * *

January 22, 2007

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

RESPONSE

In response to the Office Action dated 10/23/2006, reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

The rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly being made “obvious” based on the three-way combination of Thornton ‘065 in view of Lu ‘256 and in further view of Menard ‘151 is respectfully traversed.

At the outset, it is noted that there is no objective evidence in any or all of the three cited references to make the selective piecemeal combinations now alleged by the Examiner to have been “obvious” to the hypothetical person of only ordinary skill in the art at the relevant time. It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner’s proposals employ undue hindsight. Nevertheless, even if the Examiner’s proposals are implemented (as best they can be understood), one would still be left short of the applicant’s claimed invention.